

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/085,780	Applicant(s) FRIEDEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Sathyanarayan Pannala	Art Unit 2164	

All Participants:

 (1) Sathyanarayan Pannala.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: ____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 January 2006
Time: 1:50 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3, 6-8, 19, 22-24, 35, 38-40, 51, 54-56

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant to inform and correct typo-errors as claims 3, 6-8 are dependent on cancelled claim 2, and agreed to change the dependency to independent claim 1. Similarly claims 19, 22-24 dependency changed to independent claim 17, claims 35, 38-40 dependency changed to independent claim 33 and claims 51, 54-56 dependency changed to independent claim 49. Applicant gave permission to Examiner for Supplemental Examiner's Amendment and Supplemental Notice of Allowance to expedite the prosecution.